





Carbon capture and storage: ambitious targets for reductions in CO₂ emissions in Canada

Don Lawton Dept of Geoscience University of Calgary

AWMA CPANS presentation, December 14, 2010





CCS - why do it ?

Currently: ~84 million bpd 86.4 million bpd Yields: ~ 35 million tpd CO_2 **Total worldwide emissions** 30 Gt/yr CO_2

THE COMING OIL BREAK POINT AND THE CHALLENGES FACING AN ENERGY DEPENDENT WORLD

A THOUSAND BARRELS A SECOND



GHG emissions

Country	CO ₂ e t/person/year
United States	19
Australia	19
Canada	18
China	5
Indonesia	2
India	1

http://www.carbonplanet.com/country_emissions



ARC RESOURCES LTD.

Bachu, 2009



Canada's 2050 target for emissions reductions



Achieving 2050: A carbon pricing policy for Canada (2009), NRTEE

CCS from large point CO₂ emitters







Courtesy Ian Potter, Alberta Research Council

Properties of CO₂



CCS potential in Alberta



Source: Bachu and Stewart, Geological sequestration of anthropogenic carbon dioxide in the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin, 2002

Capacity --- Injection rate --- Storage security --- Fate of CO₂

After Bachu and Stewart, 2002 http://www.oilsands.alberta.ca/FactSheets/FS-CES-CCS.pdf

Geologic storage of CO₂ EOR and depleted oil & gas reservoirs



Various means of CO2 geological sequestration.

- Geometry of reservoir is well known
- Physical trapping
 mechanism
- Known caprock
 integrity
- History matched
- Leakage potential through old wells

http://www.ags.gov.ab.ca/co2_h2s/means_of_storage.html

Weyburn-Midale CO₂ Project, Saskatchewan



Don White, NRCan

Geologic storage of CO₂ deep saline formations



Various means of CO2 geological sequestration.

- Reservoir may not be confined
- Chemical/hydrodynamic trapping mechanism
- Seal integrity untested
- No history to match
- Deep, multiple seals
- Few wells for potential leakage pathways



http://www.ags.gov.ab.ca/co2_h2s/means_of_storage.html









The proposed pipeline route (shown above in orange) will travel aproximately 100 kilometres north of Shell Scotford to the chosen injection locations.

http://www-static.shell.com/static/investor/downloads/news_and_library/quest_ccs_project_overview.pdf

Enhance Energy



Swanhills Synfuels



⁽coal, char, ash; syngas production)

N+

http://swanhills-synfuels.com/iscg/overview/

TransAlta Project Pioneer



Alberta's 2008 CO₂ emissions reductions targets



http://environment.gov.ab.ca/info/library/7894.pdf

CCS site selection

- Determination of CO₂ storage capacity in storage formation/complex
- Mapping and understanding seal quality and any containment risk for CO₂ storage
- Ability for sustained injectivity of CO₂ in the storage formation
- Understanding potential for unintended consequences (seismicity, pressure)
- Design and implementation of a monitoring program

Risk from a regulatory perspective

- Pressure interference with existing hydrocarbon pools
- Pressure interference between adjacent CCS projects
- Brine migration through old wells
- Out of zone CO₂ migration to another storage formation (pore space)
- CO₂ migration to shallow aquifers through wells or natural pathways (faults, fractures)
- CO₂ escape into the atmosphere



Risk concerns from a public perspective

- Will CO₂ leak back into the atmosphere?
- Are there any environmental or safety concerns associated with CCS? Now *and* in the future?
- What happens to the carbon dioxide once it is underground? Will it stay there?
- Will CO₂ leak and contaminate groundwater ?
- Will CCS cause earthquakes?
- Will CCS reactivate faults?

Amanda Boyd, University of Calgary

Monitoring – risk identification/mitigation

Baseline geological characterization

site selection, static geological model, reservoir and seal properties, legacy wells

Containment monitoring

well integrity, caprock integrity, leakage at injection or observation well(s)

Conformance monitoring

CO₂ distribution in storage formation, out of zone migration, pressure distribution, consistency with modelling

Environmental monitoring

CO₂ migration to shallow aquifers, soils, or into the atmosphere



CCS Risk





IPCC, 2005

Physical trapping



IPCC, 2005

Residual trapping



IPCC, 2005

Solubility trapping



IPCC, 2005

Mineral trapping



IPCC, 2005

Z8





© 2004. Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, Natural Resources Canada. Sa Majesté la Reine du chef du Canada, Ressources naturelles Canada. USA/É-UďA

29 NRCan, 2009



Total wells 49,880

Area 200 x 180 km 36,000 km²



Abandoned wells All depths 9,587





Abandoned wells > Mississippian 6,665





Abandoned wells Precambrian 10



Measurement, Monitoring and Verification (MMV) - methods

Baseline geological characterization

regional geology and hydrology; geophysical data, wells, cores, logs, seismicity.

Containment monitoring

pressure/temperature/geomechanics logs, fluid sampling, seismic & microseismic.

Conformance monitoring

geophysical/geochemical/geomechanics surveys, pressure/temperature

Assurance (environmental) monitoring

soil and atmospheric surveys, water well surveys, shallow geophysics.







Seismic monitoring example, Sleipner, Norway



36 After Chadwick et al., TLE, February, 2010

Surface deformation monitoring from satellite data, Krechba, Algeria





Mathieson et al., TLE, February 2010

Observation well - operational monitoring



Carbon mitigation research







CMC research themes

- A. Recovery, processing & capture
- B. Emerging & enabling technologies
- C. Secure carbon storage
- D. Accelerating appropriate deployment

Shallow monitoring – CO₂ detection threshold



IPCC Summary for Policy Makers, Special Report of Working Group III, 2005

CCS monitoring research & training centre



Goals of CCS monitoring research centre

- Assess monitoring methods for CO₂ detection threshold at shallow to intermediate depths for CCS risk assessment
- A field site for development, testing and implementation of new CCS monitoring technologies
- A hub for hands-on field research for multiple ISEEE & CMC-supported research programs in CCS
- Training in CCS MMV for students and industry
- Information to regulators for CCS conformance metrics
- A site for public education about CCS
- Novel CO₂ capture on-site from a solid oxide fuel cell
- International partnerships



Conclusions

- CCS is a viable and pragmatic means of reducing CO₂ emissions
- Western Canada Sedimentary Basin has a large potential to store CO₂
- CCS is a bridging technology towards new energy paradigms
- Canadian activities have focused on CO₂ EOR
- Scale-up to meet targets will be a challenge.
- Secure CO₂ storage is critical to public acceptance
- Monitoring technology programs are being developed for verification of storage.

Acknowledgements

- ISEEE, University of Calgary
- Carbon Management Canada
- CREWES, University of Calgary.
- Helen Isaac, Abdullah Alshuhail, Taher Sodagar
- NSERC, AERI
- PennWest Petroleum
- Alberta Research Council (now AITF)
- ARC Resources.
- GG Veritas, Schlumberger, Divestco, Geoscout

